

(b)(3) 50 USC §3024(m)

(b)(6)

(U//~~FOUO~~)

(b)(7)(c)

[redacted] provided an affidavit in which she explained that the questionable hours were attributable primarily to time she worked “remotely,” that is, at home and elsewhere outside of badged facilities, and work-related phone calls and emails she received after leaving the office. [redacted] supervisors were unable to substantiate all of the compensatory hours for which she claimed to have received approval to work outside the office. [redacted] also attributed some of the questionable hours to engaging in fitness activities outdoors, the inaccuracy of badge records or unintentional recording errors by her or others. Further, she claimed she appropriately used excused absences.

(b)(3) 50 USC §3024(m)

(b)(6)

(U//~~FOUO~~)

(b)(7)(c)

The investigation found sufficient evidence to support a conclusion that [redacted] actions violated *Title 18 United States Code 287, False, Fictitious, and Fraudulent Claims*, as well as *CIA Agency Regulation* [redacted] and ODNI policies.

(b)(3) 50 USC § 3507

(U//~~FOUO~~) On 17 May 2011, the OIG briefed the United States Attorney’s Office (USAO) for the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria, Virginia, regarding the investigative findings. The USAO declined prosecution in favor of agency administrative action.

~~(U//FOUO)~~ RECOMMENDATION

(U//~~FOUO~~) The OIG recommends the Chief Management Officer, ODNI, review the facts of this case and determine any appropriate disciplinary action.